

Protecting and Restoring Our Great Lakes

Annex 2001
Implementing Agreements



Past Actions Taken

1985 – Great Lakes Charter
 Uneven implementation by States

1986 – U.S. federal statute (WRDA)
 Diversions only, no standard

1998 – Nova Group proposal

Past Actions Taken

• 1999 – Recurring lower lake levels

• 2000 – WRDA amendment

• 2000 – IJC report



The Historic Measure

• June 18, 2001: Governors and Premiers came together in Niagara Falls

- All 10 signed the Great Lakes Charter Annex 2001
 - To update the way Great Lakes Basin water will be managed
 - To protect, conserve, restore and improve the Great Lakes Basin for future generations



Annex Implementation

- All 8 States and 2 Provinces have been working together to develop agreements to implement the Annex
- Advisory Committee, technical experts and federal government representatives have been participating
- Dialogue has been ongoing with Tribes and First Nations

Draft Annex Implementation Documents

- 1st released on July 19, 2004
- Public review period
- 30+ public meetings in the region
- 10,000+ comments received
- Substantial revisions have been made based on comments
- 2nd drafts released June 30
- Public comment ended Aug. 29
- Working group met Oct. 11-14



Details of the Revised Draft Agreements

- Technical name is Annex Implementing Agreements
 - o A good faith State-Provincial agreement
 - o A compact among the States
- Include a science-based standard to review water withdrawal proposals
- Require water users to practice conservation
- Encourage economic development and environmental protection

Details of the Revised Draft Agreements

- The draft agreements are not intended to infringe on aboriginal or treaty rights, or rights held by a Tribe or First Nation based on its status as a Tribe or First Nation.
- The draft agreements are not intended to conflict with the International Boundary Waters Treaty.

Diversions

2004	2005
Draft Agreements	Revised Draft Agreements
Regulation based on	Prohibition with exemption:
common standard (e.g.	•Ballast, short-term fire fighting,
return flow, improvement,	humanitarian needs
conservation, no significant	Prohibition with exception:
impacts)	•Straddling communities
Regional review	(existing corporate boundary of
1+mgd (Compact vote)	cities, towns straddling basin/
Exemptions e.g. 12 mile	watershed divide)
return flow exemption,	•Straddling counties
flexibility in defining intra-	•Intra-Basin transfers
basin diversions	

Consumptive Use

2004	2005
Draft Agreements	Revised Draft Agreements
•Regulation by States and Provinces based on common standard (100,000+ gpd)	•Regulation by States and Provinces based on common standard (100,000+ gpd)
•Regional review 5+mgd and Compact vote for U.S. proposals	•Regional Review 5+mgd

Conservation

Conservation		
2004	2005	
Draft Agreements	Revised Draft Agreements	
•Conservation Plan for proposals that trigger Regional Review	Proposals – conservation measures; conservation of existing water supplies	
•Conservation measures for other proposed withdrawals	Programs – strengthened	
 No reasonable alternative including conservation of existing water supplies Jurisdictional Programs 	commitments to conservation, restoration, ecosystem integrity; develop program within 5 years of agreements	
subject to annual reporting, regional review		

Resource Improvement

2004	2005 Revised Draft
Draft Agreements	Agreements
•Resource Improvement	•Included in broader commitment
project required for <u>all</u>	to restoration and conservation
diversions and for	
consumptive uses that trigger	
regional review	
•Preference for hydrologic	
improvements	

Additional Issues

Issue	2005 Revised Draft Agreements
Cumulative Impacts	•Periodic review maintained; reference to climate change, precautionary principles, guidelines
Groundwater Divide	 Surface divide to be used Commitment to improved science on groundwater
Averaging Periods	•90 days

Next Steps

- · Consensus will be sought on agreements.
- Finalized agreements could go to Governors and Premiers for review and consideration later this year.
- Framework for State and Provincial laws to protect Great Lakes Basin.
 - Congress would be asked to consent to any compact among States.
 - o No federal legislation required in Canada.

Council of Great Lakes Governors

35 East Wacker Drive Suite 1850 Chicago, Illinois 60601

